

PUBLIC COMMENTS (PC)-H

PC-H1

From: Brian Hale [brianhale7@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 30, 2013 7:34 AM
To: Parsons, 405 Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS
Subject: San Diego Freeway (I-405) Improvement Project

As a daily commuter of the 405 freeway I would like to see one or two GP lanes added instead of carpool lanes/HOV lanes. The current HOV lanes are already clear on most days, there isn't a need to add more of these types of lanes. This won't help with the traffic flow. There is a huge need to add one or two general purpose lanes.

1

PC-H2

From: janwhovar@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, August 03, 2013 8:10 AM
To: Parsons, 405 Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS
Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

I am totally opposed to having a toll express lane Option 3.

My vote is for option 2 with the adding of 2 GP lanes in each direction and continuous access to HOV lanes.

1

Thanks,
Janet Hoover

PC-H3

From: Walter Horne [wjhorne@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 9:36 AM
To: Parsons, 405 Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS
Subject: Proposed Toll Lanes

CALTRANS Project Leader,

In recent years we have seen an increase of toll lanes, created using taxes paid by all citizens, for the exclusive use of a limited segment of our population. I submit that this is a GROSS MISUSE of the Taxpayers money as illustrated by 1) the 91 Toll road which is in financial trouble and will not pay for itself until 2053 by which time it will be obsolete, 2) The 73 Toll road which I happened to travel last week - In the 1/2 mile ahead of me the 3 lanes contained only 6 cars and 1 truck for the duration of my ride southbound, from the start just past the 405 Fwy to the merge with the 5 Fwy, 3) The 110 Fwy Toll lane which I have observed with less than 25% utilization. My conclusion is that, if Toll lanes are implemented on the 405 Fwy then, this project will have spent nearly a Billion Dollars and the freeway congestion will not have improved enough to overcome the projected population increase in the first two years. The Toll Road philosophy is not working in California. This is a HUGE WASTE of taxpayer monies!!!!!!

1

Sincerely,
Walter Horne

PC-H4

From: Nancy Hunsaker [mailto:nlh02@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 11, 2013 9:53 AM
To: Christina Byrne
Subject: Re: I-405 Comment Deadline is August 12

NO TOLL ROADS PERIOD!!

The best option would be two GP lanes in each direction between Brookhurst/Euclid Streets and I-605.

David and Nancy Hunsaker
714) 556-8563

1

PC-H5

From: lghunting@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2013 6:18 PM
To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: 405 south of 605

No toll lanes, no toll lanes. One lane each direction needs to be added.

} 1

PC-H6

From: lghunting@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, August 12, 2013 9:56 PM
To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

Please no toll roadways! Max of 2 more lanes each direction of I-405. One additional car pool lane and one general purpose lane for each direction. Thanks.

} 1

PC-H7

From: lghunting [lghunting@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2013 6:17 PM
To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: 405

No toll lanes, no toll lanes! One additional lane both directions. LGHunting, Garden Grove

} 1

RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS (PC)-H

Response to Comment Letter PC-H1

Comment PC-H1-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Response – Preferred Alternative Identification.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H2

Comment PC-H2-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Preferred Alternative Identification, Opposition to Tolling.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H3

Comment PC-H3-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Opposition to Tolling, Preferred Alternative Identification.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H4

Comment PC-H4-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis

presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Response – Preferred Alternative Identification, Opposition to Tolling.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H5

Comment PC-H5-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Preferred Alternative Identification, Opposition to Tolling.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H6

Comment PC-H6-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Preferred Alternative Identification, Opposition to Tolling.

Response to Comment Letter PC-H7

Comment PC-H7-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Preferred Alternative Identification, Opposition to Tolling.